



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231SERIAL NUMBER: 08/746,635
FILING DATE: 11/13/96
INVENTOR: MURPHY
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: V 96700/341CRAIG J. ARNOLD
AMSTER ROTHSTEIN AND EBENSTEIN
90 PARK AVENUE
NEW YORK NY 10016

HM12/0804

EXAMINER
GABEL, G

1641

PAGES NUMBER

23

DATE MAILED

08/04/99

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☒ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:a) ☒ is extended to run 3 mos or continues to run _____ from the date of the final rejectionb) ☐ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

☒ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).☒ Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed _____, has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:1. ☒ The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:a) ☒ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.b) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).c) ☒ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).d) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.e) ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: Applicants introduce new issue in claim 20, step (b) by reciting "of at least 20 U/L" as a new limitation to describe the value or level of adenylate kinase activity.2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.3. ☒ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☐ will be entered ☐ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:Claims allowed: NoneClaims objected to: NoneClaims rejected: 20-23

However;

☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): _____4. ☒ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the argument presented to overcome prior art is not convincing5. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.☐ Other

James C. House
JAMES C. HOUSE
ATTORNEY
8/2/99
Daibere B. Gabe
7/26/99
DAIBERE B. GABE
ATTORNEY